

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/772,105	OZELIUS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(2) Doreen Hogle.

Status of Application: amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 May 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was suggested that claims be filed which separate out sequences according to priority date. Applicant's representative indicated that an amendment to that effect would be forthcoming

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)